

QUALITY REPORT BELGIAN SILC 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	4
1. Indicators	5
2. Accuracy.....	6
2.1. Sampling Design.....	6
2.1.1. Type of sampling	6
2.1.2. Stratification.....	6
2.1.3. Sampling units and 2-stage sampling in 2004	6
2.1.4. Renewal of the sample by rotation, since 2005	6
2.1.5. Sample size and allocation criteria.....	7
2.1.6. Sample distribution over time	8
2.1.7. Substitutions	8
2.1.8. Weightings.....	8
2.1.9. Substitutions.....	12
2.2. Sampling errors	13
2.2.1. Standard errors and effective sample size.....	13
2.3. Non-sampling errors	13
2.3.1. Sampling frame and coverage errors.....	13
2.3.2. Measurement and processing errors.....	13
2.3.3. Non-response errors	20
2.4. Mode of data collection.....	26
2.5. Interview duration	26
2.6. Imputation procedure.....	26
Preceding important remark.....	26
2.6.1. Overall strategy: Emphasis on internal information and integration of outlier detection- , imputation- and control-phases	27
2.6.2. Particular cases.....	30
2.6.3. Description on imputation per target variable.....	31
3. Comparability.....	34
3.1. Basic concepts and definitions	34
The reference population	34
The private household definition	34
The household membership.....	34
The income reference period used.....	34
The period for taxes on income and social insurance contributions	34
The lag between the income reference period and current variables.....	34
The total duration of the data collection of the sample.....	34
Basic information on activity status during the income reference period	35
3.2. Components of income.....	35

3.2.1. Differences between the national definitions and standard EU-SILC definitions, and an assessment, if available, of the consequences of the differences mentioned will be reported for the following target variables.....	35
3.2.2. The source or procedure used for the collection of income variables.....	40
3.2.3. The form in which income variables at component level have been obtained (e.g. gross, net of taxes on income at source and social contributions, net of tax on income at source, net of social contributions).....	40
3.2.4. The method used for obtaining income target variables in the required form (i.e. gross values) 41	
4. Coherence	42
Annex: confidence intervals	43

INTRODUCTION

This report contains a description of the accuracy, precision and comparability of the Belgian SILC2013-surveydata. It is structured following the guidelines in the commission regulation (EC) no. 28/2004. This results in three chapters:

- Indicators
- Accuracy
- Comparability

The Questionnaires can be found on the website:

http://statbel.fgov.be/fr/statistiques/collecte_donnees/enquetes/silc/ for the French version or

<http://statbel.fgov.be/nl/statistieken/gegevensinzameling/enquetes/silc/> for the Dutch one.

1. INDICATORS

Explanation on the calculation of the common cross-sectional EU indicators and equivalised disposable income can be found in document EU-SILC 131-rev/04.

The SAS-applications to calculate the indicators were provided by EUROSTAT. The input data files of the calculation process (household register file, personal register file, household data file and personal data file) are the output files of the Belgium EU-SILC 2013 survey.

An interactive overview of the common cross-sectional EU indicators based on the cross-sectional component of EU-SILC and equivalised disposable income can be found on the Eurostat website: <http://epp.eurostat.ec.europa.eu>. Additional information for Belgium can be found on the website of Statistics Belgium:

http://statbel.fgov.be/nl/statistieken/cijfers/arbeid_leven/inkomens/armoede.

2. ACCURACY

2.1. SAMPLING DESIGN

2.1.1. TYPE OF SAMPLING

The Belgian EU-SILC 2013 survey is based on a stratified 2-stage sampling scheme in 2004, followed by rotation since 2005. Rotation allows to replace roughly one fourth of the sample each year. Hence, households (ignoring split-offs) participating in 2013 have been drawn for participation since 2010, 2011, 2012 or 2013.

2.1.2. STRATIFICATION

The main stratification criterion is the NUTS2 level. The 11 *sampling strata* are the 10 Belgian provinces (5 in Flanders – coded BE21-BE25 – and 5 in Wallonia – coded BE31 to BE35) and the Brussels Capital Region (BE10).

Further implicit stratification is obtained by sorting PSUs (sub-municipalities) on mean income and sorting SSUs (households) in selected PSUs on age of reference person, as explained in the next section.

2.1.3. SAMPLING UNITS AND 2-STAGE SAMPLING IN 2004

In 2004, when organizing EU-SILC for the first time (ignoring the pilot survey in 2003), 2-stage sampling has been applied in each sampling stratum.

Stage 1 – Primary Sampling Units

The primary sampling units (PSUs) in stage 1 are the municipalities, or parts thereof in the larger ones. In each stratum, the PSUs in the frame are first descendingly sorted by average income; next, a fixed number of times a PSU is drawn according to a systematic PPS (*probability proportional to size*) selection scheme, where size is measured as the number of private households. This systematic sampling method generally causes some PSUs being selected repeatedly (e.g. Schaerbeek, a rather large municipality in stratum BE10, turns out to be drawn 6 times). In total, i.e. in all 11 sampling strata together, 275 PSU draws were made in 2004, once and for all (i.e. for the whole duration of EU-SILC).

Stage 2 – Secondary Sampling Units

The *secondary sampling units* (SSUs) in stage 2 are private households. According to each single PSU draw, a group (generally of fixed size) of households is selected in this stage; notice that a *group* of households corresponds to each PSU *draw*.

In 2004, 40 households have been selected for each PSU draw (i.e. in each group); e.g. in Schaerbeek, 6 times 40 households were drawn. Systematic selection of households has been applied, after sorting the households in selected PSUs by age of reference person. Within each group, the selected households were numbered 1 to 40; households 1-10 constitute the first *rotational group* or *replication*, households 11-20 constitute the second rotational group or replication, and so on. The first replication was meant to participate in 2004 only, the second until 2005, and so on.

The initial household sample in 2004 was *self-weighting*, by the combination of (systematic) PPS sampling of sub-municipalities (PSUs) – size of PSUs being the number of private households – and (systematic) sampling of private households (SSUs), as explained.

2.1.4. RENEWAL OF THE SAMPLE BY ROTATION, SINCE 2005

Since 2005, a rotation scheme has been applied. Details for each year, from 2005 to 2013, can be found in the corresponding Quality Reports (http://statbel.fgov.be/fr/modules/publications/statistiques/enquetes_et_methodologie/quality_report_belgian_silc.jsp).

The rotation pattern is such that the overlap between samples in any two successive years is roughly 75%, and that the sample is completely renewed after 4 years. Hence four replications or rotational groups in each year, one of which is replaced the year after. Since 2005, each new replication remains in the survey during the next 4 years, and since 2007, each of the four replications is in the survey during four consecutive years.

At the start of 2013, the replication that is in the survey since 2009, is entirely (i.e. irrespective of whether the households are responding or not) dropped. The three replications which entered into the survey in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively, are retained (including their split-offs); the households belonging to these three replications will be designated 'old' hereafter.

The supplementary sample, i.e. the new replication that replaces the just dropped replication, is obtained by selecting, for each PSU draw, a fixed number of new households from the corresponding PSU. This selection is done again by systematic sampling, after sorting the households in each PSU on age of reference person. The number of new households for each PSU draw, is determined by considering some (expected) attrition of old households, some (expected) nonresponse for new households, and the required/desired minimum and maximum numbers of responding households, given some precision and budget constraints.

Hence, the (cross-sectional) sample of SILC 2013 consists of

- "old" households: drawn between 2010 and 2012; and
- "new" households: drawn in 2013, staying until 2016.

2.1.5. SAMPLE SIZE AND ALLOCATION CRITERIA

In 2013, 19 new households per group are randomly selected. In total 5165 new households are selected in 2013. These households are joined with the 5071 old households that remain from previous years (selected in 2010, 2011 or 2012). Hence 10236 households are invited to participate in 2013. Given some attrition of old households and nonresponse of new households the number of participating households in 2013 is 6159.

NUTS2	Name	Old hh	New hh	Total hh	Accepted hh (DB135=1)
		7	28	35	0
BE10	Brussels	791	896	1687	963
BE21	Antwerpen	707	811	1518	838
BE22	Limburg	365	299	664	470
BE23	Oost-Vlaanderen	575	618	1193	706
BE24	Vlaams-Brabant	465	489	954	582
BE25	West-Vlaanderen	520	392	912	646
BE31	Brabant Wallon	151	151	302	179
BE32	Hainaut	724	713	1437	834
BE33	Liège	431	466	897	566
BE34	Luxembourg	146	113	259	155
BE35	Namur	189	189	378	220
Total	Belgium	5071	5165	10236	6159

Table 1 : sample size and achieved response by NUTS2-units

2.1.6. SAMPLE DISTRIBUTION OVER TIME

2.1.7. SUBSTITUTIONS

No substitution was applied in our survey.

2.1.8. WEIGHTINGS

Recall that, for the first year of the panel (=SILC 2004 in Belgium), the computation of weights involved three stages (described in 134-04):

- initial weights
- weights corrected for nonresponse
- final (calibrated) weights.

For 2013, a distinction has to be made between

“old” households i.e. households that contain at least one sample person who took part in 2012, and had to be surveyed again in 2013 according to the rotation and tracing rules (excluding the outgoing fourth) (household composition may have changed, whence quotations marks)

“new” households i.e. households that were drawn for the first time in 2013, among those households not containing any sample person already drawn before.

This distinction pertains to initial weights and nonresponse correction :

- Since the “old” households are selected indirectly from the 2010, 2011 or 2012 samples, and household composition may have changed, some kind of “weight sharing” must be applied to determine the (2013) initial weights, or rather base weights. On the other hand, “new” households have their own inclusion probability, whose inverse gives the initial weights;
- For the “old” households, (2013) nonresponse=attrition can be linked with (2012) SILC information. For the “new” households, all we can rely upon to explain initial nonresponse is auxiliary information from the Population Register (household size, urban/rural character) and the Financial Statistics (median fiscal income by municipality:)

On the other hand,

- Calibration can be done together for “old” and “new” households. With respect to our 2004 model, we decided in 2005 to relax the constraints (basically, calibrating at NUTS1-level instead of NUTS2), in order to decrease the standard deviation of weights.

This introduces the following sections :

- Initial weights for the new households
- Nonresponse correction for the new households
- Base weights for the old households
- Attrition correction for the old households
- Calibration (all households)

2.1.8.1. INITIAL WEIGHTS FOR THE NEW HOUSEHOLDS

Belgium chose to draw the Primary Sampling Units (= municipalities or parts thereof) “forever”, and to rotate the Secondary Sampling Units (=households) within the selected PSU’s.

The 2004 PPS two-stage sampling design was self-weighting within each stratum h: x denoting any households in municipality X), we had (in 2004)

$$P(x \text{ drawn}) = P(x \text{ drawn} | X \text{ drawn}) \cdot P(X \text{ drawn}) = n_h / N_X \cdot N_X / N_h \cdot g_h = n_h / N_h \cdot g_h, \text{ where}$$

- n_h denotes the number of households to be drawn in the (selected) PSU (viz. 40)
- N_X the number of households in the PSU (in 2004)
- N_h the number of households in the stratum (in 2004)
- g_h the number of PSU's drawn in the stratum.

(This is an oversimplification, since PSU are drawn with repetition; the selection probability for a PSU should be replaced by the expectation of selection multiplicity, and the term 40 by a multiple depending on the selection multiplicity...but the idea is the same).

In 2013, the picture has become

$$P(x \text{ drawn}) = P(x \text{ drawn} | X \text{ drawn}) \cdot P(X \text{ drawn}) = m_h / M_X \cdot N_X / N_h \cdot g_h, \text{ where}$$

- m_h is the number of households to be drawn in the (selected) PSU (depending on h)
- M_X is the number of households in the PSU (in 2013)

The factor N_X / M_X indicates the increase-decrease in inclusion probabilities in PSU X (still assuming X has been drawn) between 2013 and 2004.

Now it would seem logical to replace N_X by a smaller number, to account for the households¹ already drawn in previous years (from 2004) whence immunized from being drawn again in 2013.

However, the following argument shows that (assuming momentarily that X has been drawn and that the population figures N_X and M_X remain stable) matters are not so easy:

$$P(x \text{ drawn in 2013}) = (P(x \text{ drawn in 2013} | x \text{ drawn before}) \cdot P(x \text{ drawn before})) + (P(\text{drawn in 2013} | x \text{ not drawn before}) \cdot P(x \text{ not drawn before})),$$

the first term vanishes and the second equals $n_h / (M_X - b) \cdot (N_X - b) / N_h$, where b denotes the number of hh already drawn; since both fraction terms are much larger than b (at least 900 in all selected PSU’s), the ratio $(N_X - b) / (M_X - b)$ is (close to 1, and) very close to N_X / M_X . Since the term b is an approximation anyway, we chose to stick to $m_h / M_X \cdot N_X / N_h \cdot g_h$ as inclusion probabilities, and its inverse for initial weights **INIwei=DB080**. Note that, with this concept of DB080, the “new” hh correspond to the total Belgian population (some 4,5 millions private hh); before calibrating, these weights will be scaled down “to make room” for the old hh; recovering the strange hh means that the sum of the pre-calibration weights will be slightly larger than 4,5 millions (average of g-weights slightly less than 1)

¹ *Perhaps a bit less (households that vanished already subtracted) or a bit more (split households, both components of which stayed in PSU, should be subtracted twice)*

2.1.8.2. NONRESPONSE CORRECTION FOR THE NEW HOUSEHOLDS

Following Eurostat's suggestion (see Document 065, WEIGHTING II. WEIGHTING FOR THE FIRST YEAR OF EACH SUB-SAMPLE), we replaced the homogeneous response groups (based on household size crossed with urbanity) ratio by a multiple regression model (based on the same dummy variables). By "responding", we mean only those households whose results were accepted (DB135=1). Since 2009 we used logistic regression.

The file was split by NUTS1 and the following variables were used

- Everywhere: Household size, recoded into the four values "one", "two", "three" and "four or more" (so three dummies)
- Out of Brussels: DB100 = urbanity
- In Brussels = BE10: median fiscal income of municipality.

The regression produced a new variable "expresp", allowing us to define

- **NRwei** = INIwei/expresp

2.1.8.3. ATTRITION FOR THE OLD HOUSEHOLDS

Before "sharing" the 2012 weights, a correction for attrition should be introduced. This year, we elected to perform this correction at the level of individuals, since a 2012 sample person either stays in the panel or leaves it (rotated out, left population, noncontact, refusal or inability to respond, while the structure of a household can change. Note that all household characteristics (e.g. HH021) can be distributed to the members.

We separated the "Children" (for which only basic personal information from the R-file and the distributed H-file is available) from the "Adults" (present in the 2012 P-file as well), i.e. those persons born in 1997 or before.

In the children's model, the following predictors (all, except the last, from the 2012 file – although this does not matter much for group A) were used, grouped by type :

- individual demographic information: age from RB080, sex = RB090,
- housing information: dwelling type = HH010 and tenure = HH020
- household type: a limited number of dummies, as there is at least one dependent child;
- monetary indicators: we refrained from taking the equivalised income (outliers), but took a transform of it, as well as the dummy "poor or not" and the subjective ability to make ends meet = HS120
- sampling and rotation: number of years in panel (from DB075) and urbanisation (=DB100)
- one variable (paradata) related to fieldwork in 2011 (computed from HB040 and HB050)

For the adults, the same predictors were used, and moreover :

- variables from the P-file (related to education level and health);
- country of birth (dummy Belgium Yes/No)

were integrated.

We used logistic regression.

2.1.8.4. WEIGHT SHARING

We followed Eurostat's recommendation "EU-SILC weighting procedures: an outline" and shared the calibrated 2012 weights, after correcting for attrition (instead of the initial weights, see Lavallée).

This can be illustrated by an imaginary example, dealing simultaneously with fusions (persons *A* & *B* in same 2012 hh, *C* in another 2012 hh, so "fusion" in the sense of DB110 occurs), new members (a baby like *E* or already in population like *D*); we focus on the 2013 hh, what happened to those who co-resided with *A* and *B* or with *C* in 2012 (left or split) is irrelevant!

Note that:

- RB050 = weight 2012: same for *A* & *B*, vacuous for *D* and *E*
- Newi: in general a bit larger than RB050; *A*'s differs from *B*'s (attrition correction at individual level)
- Somwe = 950+1000+850 involves only *A*, *B* and *C*
- $Weind = \frac{1}{4} * \text{somwe}$ (*A B C D* : four contribute to the denominator)²

Person in 2013 hh	A	B	C	D	E
RB110 (2013)	1	1	2	3	4
RB050 (weight 2012)	800	800	600	---	---
Newi = Weight 2013 (after attrition correction)	950	1000	850	---	---
Somwe (sum Newi over 2013 hh)	2800	2800	2800	2800	2800
Weind	700	700	700	700	700

Table 2 : illustration weight sharing

Weind will be injected as "initial" weight in the final calibration job.

2.1.8.5. CALIBRATION

We first put the pieces together: weind is defined as:

- (new = started in 2013) :
initial weight, corrected for initial nonresponse, scaled, see 2.1.8.1)
- (old = took part in 2012) :
2012 weight, corrected for attrition and weight sharing if necessary, see 2.1.8.4)
- (back = did not take part in 2012 but before) :

² Do we abide by the Eurostat rules (starting from base weights, it is unclear whether "their" attrition correction precedes or follows weight sharing) ?

There remain some additional categories of persons to be considered:

- Children born to sample women. They receive the weight of the mother (this assumes that the baby belongs to his/her mother's hh)
- Persons moving into sample households from outside the survey population. They receive the average of base weights of existing household members (vacuous here, as RB110 enables us to identify the newborns, but not the immigrants or the -few- persons moving from a collective to a private hh)
- Persons moving into sample households from other non-sample households in the population – these are "co-residents" and are given zero base weight.

initial weight, no correction.

In terms of persons, the weiind statistics were

Type	# ind	Mean of weiind
NEW	5010	533.52
OLD	8942	891.04
BACK	695	500.79
Total	14647	750.23

Table 3 : Weights 2013

Recall that 11 *sampling* strata were used (provinces= NUTS2); we use 3 *extrapolation* strata (the 3 NUTS1 regions BRUssels=BE1, VLAanderen=BE2 and WALlonia=BE3)

Calibration model was adapted in 2012. From this year we take 2 additional individuals variables into account for our model : BIT status and Social integration benefits status. In 2013, our calibration model is the following :

VLA, WAL:

SIZE4+(AGE8XSEX2)+PROV5 +statbit3 +RIS2 →23 individual³ + 4 household constraints

BRU:

SIZE4+(AGE8XSEX2) + statbit3 +RIS2 →19 individual + 4 household constraints

- Prov = province where interviewed
- Statbit3 = BIT status (unemployed – worker – inactive)
- RIS2 = receiving social integration benefits (yes – no).

Individual constraints: 32=16+11+3+2 (age*sex + prov+statbit+RIS ; note that each province belongs to one single region (extrapolation stratum), for the other two regions, the total is set to 0 and the condition is vacuous)

Household constraints: (size: "1", "2", "3 or "4 & more",)

Calibration type (after some trials and errors...): truncated

2.1.8.6. FINAL LONGITUDINAL WEIGHTS

2.1.8.7. FINAL CROSS-SECTIONAL WEIGHTS

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Dev.
Final weights	6159	81.20	12881.10	777.94	481.85

Table 4 : Final cross-sectionnal weights

2.1.9. SUBSTITUTIONS

No substitution was applied in our survey.

³ Five provinces and 16 age*sex categories, but sum over provinces = sum over age*sex

2.2. SAMPLING ERRORS

2.2.1. STANDARD ERRORS AND EFFECTIVE SAMPLE SIZE

In Annex we will present an overview of the **standard errors** for the common cross-sectional EU indicators and equivalised disposable income.

An overview of the achieved sample size for the 'Laeken indicators' and equivalised disposable income can be found in Table 15 of §2.3.3.6.

The design effect for the Median equivalised disposable income = 1.14.

There is no unbiased estimator of the design variance for SYSPPS with replacement sampling. The large PSU are selected with probability 1, but may not be considered as self-representative, because the number of groups selected is random, and the sum of the sampling weights of selected household do not equal PSU size.

Standard errors are estimated by jackknife repeated replication (JRR) method. The clusters are the groups, the strata made by two (or three) groups, using sampling order.

2.3. NON-SAMPLING ERRORS

2.3.1. SAMPLING FRAME AND COVERAGE ERRORS

The sampling frame is the Central Population Register. This Register includes all private households and their current members residing in the territory. Persons living in collective households and in institutions are excluded from the target population.

The Central Population Register of 1 February was used.

Updating actions: Central Population Register is updated two times during a month. The changes were communicated to the interviewers.

As there was a period of one month between the drawing of households and the survey itself, over-coverage, under-coverage and misclassification could be happen.

Over-coverage: Persons who died before the survey. Households who moved outside Belgium before the survey. Address is not the principal residence.

Under-coverage: Immigrants who came in Belgium before the survey. Persons who moved from a household to create a new household. Diplomats exempt from an inscription in the national register. Refugees on a waiting list.

Misclassification: Household who moved from a region in Belgium to another region of Belgium.

The size of coverage errors is not available but it was obviously small.

2.3.2. MEASUREMENT AND PROCESSING ERRORS

2.3.2.1. MEASUREMENT ERRORS

Measurement errors can occur from different sources, such as the survey instrument, the information system, the interviewer, the mode of collection (CAPI interview). We describe here a few elements by which possible measurement errors can be detected or which show on the other side the efforts taken to avoid as much as possible measurement errors.

- **Questionnaire construction**

The questionnaire of the SILC2013 survey is the result of several steps:

For building up the questionnaire we took the blue print questionnaire of Eurostat as the basis (documents SILC055, SILC065 and EU-SILC65/02 Addendum II). The order of the questions and the groups (themes of) questions is taken from this blue print. The majority of the questions are almost literally copied (and translated), other questions are changed, however, because experiences in Belgium gave better results posing the questions in another way (The first questionnaires were developed in collaboration with the universities that have the experience of the ECHP/PSBH project in Belgium).

After each survey an evaluation of the questionnaire was made (detection of the problematic or difficult to answer questions based on the comments of the interviewers and on a study of the item non-response). When building up the SILC2013 questionnaire we took account of this evaluation.

- **Evaluation of the duration of the interview and the level of difficulty of the questions**

At the end of the interview, the household contact person was asked the following two evaluative questions:

We would like to thank you for your co-operation. We are at the end of the questionnaire. For the evaluation of this questionnaire we would like to ask following questions.

1) *How easy or difficult did you find the answering of the questionnaire in general?*

- *Very difficult (code 1)*
- *Difficult (code 2)*
- *Not difficult but neither easy (code 3)*
- *Easy (code 4)*
- *Very easy (code 5)*

2) *What do you think of the length of the questionnaire?*

- *Too long (code 1)*
- *Neither too long neither too short (code 2)*
- *Too short (code 3)*

In tables Table 5 and Table 6 the distribution of the answers on these questions are presented.

	N	%
Very difficult	15	0.2
Difficult	218	3.5
Neither difficult / Nor easy	2573	41.8
Easy	2980	48.4
Very easy	370	6.0
Missing	3	0.0
Total	6159	100.0

Table 5 : Opinion on degree of difficulty of the questionnaire

	N	%
Too long	302	4.9
Neither too long / Neither too short	5720	92.9
Too short	135	2.2
Missing	0	0.0
Total	6159	100.0

Table 6 : Opinion on the duration of the interview

For the majority of the participating households (54.4%), the questions were easy or very easy to interpret (57.2% in 2012). For 92.9% of the households the interview was neither too long, nor too short. This figure is similar to 2012 (93.5%).

As an evaluation after the survey we have sent the households and the interviewers each a different evaluation questionnaire.

- ***Mismatch in time between household composition and household income (see also §3.1)***

A number of inconsistencies result from a mismatch between the composition of the household at the moment of the interview (between April and November of year x) and the income of the previous year (year x-1).

This mismatch can bias the measurement of poverty status in several ways. For example:

Persons who were full-time students in year x-1 (and depending on their parents), but were employed at the time of the interview (and living independently in a one person household for example) will report an income equal to 0 in year x-1 and will be wrongly classified as a poor household.

Other examples can also occur for persons where the household composition changed:

For a housewife who was married in year x-1, but divorced and is working at the time of the survey there will also be a mismatch

For a household which received family allowances for a student in year x-1, but where the student is no longer part of the household in year x there will also be a mismatch

For a household with a person working in year x-1, but retired at the moment of the survey (in year x) a mismatch will also occur. Take notice of the fact that, as the examples show the bias can go in both directions: under and over reporting of income. In each one of the examples, the choice to situate the income reference period in the past is the cause, however.

- ***Error in the routing***

In 2013 there was a routing error in the way to compute the time taken by individuals to fill questionnaires.

- ***Interview training (Number of training days and information on the intensity and efficiency of interview training)***

Overall we had the impression that the working-experience of the interviewers with EU-SILC starts to pay off. All new interviewers have to follow a two day formation. All trained interviewers followed a formation for an hour and half.

They both had to complete a test-interview before they could download their data. So we can be sure they can completely manage the use of the PC and that they know the questionnaire before they go on the field.

A training group for new interviewers consisted of minimum 5 to maximum 20 interviewers, and according to the size of the training group there were 1 or 2 trainers.

Even though the accent was given to the practical side of the training (getting to know the questions and mastering the CAPI-program by imitating interview situations), three manuals were distributed and explained during the training:

A general manual ('Manuel general aux enquêteurs') containing information about the objectives of the survey, the organisation of the survey, legal and administrative aspects around the survey, fieldwork aspect (how to contact the household, how to introduce oneself, who answers which questions, time delays, ...) and the content of the questionnaires.

A second manual ('Manuel contenu') with all kinds of additional explanations and examples for certain questions/answers.

A third manual ('Manuel CAPI') about the use of the portable PC for the SILC Computer Assisted Personal Interviews and about the data entry program itself.

The first day of the training there was half a day for learning about and discussing the first two manuals. In the afternoon the trainees received their laptop and got to know the survey and the tool to carry out the interview in practice. One test-interview was simulated collectively. The second day of the training a small part of the time was dedicated to testing to send the data electronically after carrying out the interview. All the rest of the day interviewers practiced several interviews and interview situations with each other on the basis of household profiles that were given. There was also a lot of time for questions and discussions in between these test-interviews.

At the end of the training sessions the instructors had a good image on the degree in which each interviewer ameliorated during the training and on the degree in which they mastered the work. For certain interviewers two days of training was more than enough to master the work, for others it was necessary that they practiced some more at home on specific aspects of carrying out this survey (for example using of the CAPI-program itself, working on the content of the survey, ...). They were recommended to do so before carrying out their first real interview. They were often also recommended to start interviewing one-person households.

A training group for trained interviewers consisted maximum 30 interviewers with two trainers. The accent was also given on the content: questions that changed, the module 2011 and questions, which are misunderstood by the interviewers. We made an extra manual for trained interviewers. The trained interviewers obtained four manuals:

- A general manual ('Manuel general aux enquêteurs') containing information about the objectives of the survey, the organisation of the survey, legal and administrative aspects around the survey, fieldwork aspect (how to contact the household, how to introduce oneself, who answers which questions, time delays, ...) and the content of the questionnaires.
- A second manual ('Manuel contenu') with all kinds of additional explanations and examples for certain questions/answers.
- A third manual ('Manuel CAPI') about the use of the portable PC for the SILC Computer Assisted Personal Interviews and about the data entry program itself.
- A fourth manual ('Modifications du questionnaire : module 2013) about the module, changed questions and questions misunderstood by the interviewers.

- ***Skills testing before starting the fieldwork***

Interviewers were selected from the interviewer database that Statistics Belgium has centralised for all the survey's that are carried out by the institute. For each interviewer a basic curriculum vitae is present in the database (mentioning for example for which surveys they have experience, their language knowledge, their knowledge of pc, ...). A specific unit at Statistics Belgium ('Unité Corps Enquêteurs') is occupied with the selection of the interviewers for each survey; they have good contact with and knowledge of the interviewers. They try to find the best interviewer for each of the geographical areas to cover for SILC. This is not always an easy task because for certain geographical areas several interviewers are candidate, but for other geographical unit there are few or no candidates. Note that interviewers in Belgium most often carry out this work as a second or casual occupation.

- **Skills control during the fieldwork**

During the fieldwork we controlled the work of the interviewers by looking at some of their completed questionnaires. We gave extra attention to all new interviewers and to some trained interviewers that we suspected to be less accurate. Remarks (positive as negative) resulting from these controls were immediately communicated to the interviewer so they could improve their way of working and interviewing.

- **Number of households by interviewer**

Groups of secondary units consisted of about 35 households, depending on the strata. Most of the interviewers had one group of households. Nevertheless several interviewers also had more groups:

	N
interviewers with 1 group:	44
interviewers with 2 groups:	39
interviewers with 3 groups:	25
interviewers with 4 groups:	19
interviewers with 5 groups:	8
interviewers with 6 groups:	5
interviewers with 7 groups:	1
interviewers with 8 groups:	1
interviewers with 10 groups:	2

Table 7 : Number of groups by interviewer

2.3.2.2. PROCESSING ERRORS

Belgium used the CAPI-method to interview the persons. The questionnaire was programmed in Blaise. So processing errors due to data entry (from a written to an electronic format) were reduced to a minimum.

Statistics Belgium programmes several data entry and coding controls in the Blaise program. Below an overview of both data entry and coding controls is presented.

- **Data entry controls**

Question number	Control
Contact form	
Column 21, 22, 23 and 24	You can't combine father, mother or being spouse with 'being younger than 12 years'.
Column 8,21 and 22	It's not possible to combine being 'female' and being 'father'. It's not possible to combine being 'male' and being 'mother'.
Column 21 and 22	Mother and father have to be older than their children (and at least being older than 12 years).
Column 21, 22, 23, 24	Parents of the spouses or of the partners must be different.
Column 23, 24	You can't mix 'spouse' and 'partner'. Must choose one of both for the couple.
Household questionnaire	

H5 and H7:	It is not possible to combine H5, code 6 with H7 code 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10
H13	Enter a numeric value between 1900 and 2011
H19	The first of the reimbursement must be between 1954 and 2008 (included). The year of the first purchase must be at the same time or later than the date of buying.
H27 category g, H45 category g:	Code 1 is only possible if at question H5, code 3,4,5,6 or 7
H44	Not possible to answer more than 12 months
H95	Persons have to be between the age of 11 and 23 (included) to obtain a scholarship for secondary school
H97	Persons have to be between the age of 16 and 99 (included) to obtain a scholarship for higher education
Individual questionnaire	
Question I6, I7 and I8	You can't combine code 2 of questions I6 and I7 with code 1, 2, 3, 4 and 10 of the question I8.
Question I6 , I7 and I8	You can't combine code 1 of question I6 or question I7 with code 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11 of the question I8.
Question I13 and I14:	You can't combine code 1,2,3,4 and 10 question in I13 with code 2 and 3 in question I14
Question I13 et I16	You can't combine code 1, 2, 3, 4 and 10 of the question I13 with code 1, 2 of the question I16.
Question I14 and I16	You can't combine code 2 or 3 of the question I14 and code 3 or 4 of the question I16.
Question I21 and I22	You can't combine code 1,2,3,4 or 10 in question I21 with code 2 or 3 in question I22.
Question I21 and I29.	You can't combine code 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 of the question I29 with the code 1, 2, 3, 4 or 10 of the question I21.
Question I29 and I22	You can't combine code 7 of the question I 29 with code 2 or 3 of the question I22.
Question I37	Age has to be less than current age and not less than 8 year.
Question I38	Number of years can't be higher than current age minus the age mentioned in question I37.
Question I 52, I 92.	Can't be higher than 12 months.
Question I 116	Can't enter a year which is before date of birth.
Question I25 (I26) (gross income) and question I27 (I28) (net income)	Amounts given in question I25 can't be higher than the amounts given in the question I27. Remark : Ditto for the questions I47 (I48) and I50 (I51), I53 and I54, I55 and I56, I90 and I91, and I93 and I94, I98_A, B, C, D, E, F, G, H and I99 and I102_A, B, C, D, E and I115_ A, B, C, D, E and I116_ A, B
Question I25 and I 26	If the person didn't give an exact amount at the question I25, please go to the question I26. Remark : Ditto for the question I27 and I28; I47 and I48; I50 and I51

Table 8 : Overview of data entry controls

Next to these controls, some warnings were implemented in order to ask the interviewer to verify the introduced data in the case of abnormally high or low amounts. A warning is a simple text box with a message such as 'This amount is very low, are you sure the amount is right?' or 'This amount is very

high, are you sure the amount is right?'. The interviewer has then to confirm the value or to change it in case of error.

Household questionnaire	
H16	If lower than 500 or higher than 1000000
H22 (monthly)	If lower than 20 or higher than 2000
H22 (half-yearly)	If lower than 100 or higher than 10000
H22 (yearly)	If lower than 200 or higher than 20000
H23 (monthly)	If lower than 20 or higher than 2000
H23 (half-yearly)	If lower than 100 or higher than 10000
H23 (yearly)	If lower than 200 or higher than 20000
H26	If lower than 25 or higher than 5000
H33	If lower than 50 or higher than 10000
H34, H37, H41	If lower than 100 or higher than 5000
H43, H77, H84	If lower than 25 or higher than 1000
H66	If lower than 100 or higher than 25000
H71B	If lower than 25 or higher than 750
H79, H86	If lower than 25 or higher than 1000
H93	If lower than 100 or higher than 1500
Individual questionnaire	
I25, I27, I47, I50, I90, I91	If lower than 500 or higher than 5500
I53, I54, I55, I56, I86, I93, I94	If lower than 6000 or higher than 66000
I58	If higher than 1200
I98B, I98C, I115B, I115C	If higher than 1350
I99, I102B, I102C	If higher than 5400

Table 9 : Overview of warnings

Some warnings concern other values than amounts. It's the case for H17 when the value is higher than 30 years ('A period of 30 years is really exceptional, are you sure it is right?') and for H18 when the interest equals 0 or is higher than 15.

It's also the case for H90 for households who say they didn't receive family allowance where children are currently living in the household ('Are you sure you didn't receive any family allowance in 2012 (there is a person of less than 18 year in your household)?').

- **Coding controls**

For the questions relating to occupation (ISCO) and the economic activity of the local unit (NACE) of the main job for respondent, the interviewer could directly insert the corresponding code of the Statistics Belgium. If the interviewer didn't know the corresponding code he could look it up in his computer. If he still hesitated, he could enter a brief description beside the code he entered. These comments were compared with the codes after the fieldwork to correct the data if necessary.

- **Other controls and other problems**

We checked the number of minutes to complete the household questionnaire (see §2.5). The household questionnaire took about 21 minutes. In 2013 it was not possible to check the time needed to complete the individual questionnaires, due to a routing error. However from the feed-back received from interviewers, we can say that the situation was nearly the same than for previous years.

2.3.3. NON-RESPONSE ERRORS

2.3.3.1. ACHIEVED SAMPLE SIZE

Rotational group	N
Group 2 (start in 2010)	1298
Group 3 (start in 2011)	1309
Group 4 (start in 2012)	1451
Group 1 (start in 2013)	2101
All	6159

Table 10 : • Number of households for which an interview is accepted for the database

Rotational group	N
Group 1 (start in 2010)	2501
Group 2 (start in 2011)	2545
Group 3 (start in 2012)	2705
Group 4 (start in 2013)	3960
TOTAL	11711

Table 11 : Number of persons of 16 years or older who are members of the households for which the interview is accepted, and who completed a personal interview

2.3.3.2. UNIT NON-RESPONSE

For the total sample (four rotational groups)

- **Household non-response rates (NRh)**

$$NRh = (1 - (Ra * Rh)) * 100$$

where

$$Ra = \frac{\text{Number of addresses successfully contacted}}{\text{Number of valid addresses selected}} = \frac{\sum [DB120 = 11]}{\sum [DB120 = \text{all}] - \sum [DB120 = 23]} = \frac{10030}{10236 - 24} = 0.9822$$

$$Rh = \frac{\text{Number of household interviews completed and accepted for the database}}{\text{Number of eligible households at contacted addresses}} = \frac{\sum [DB135 = 1]}{\sum [DB130 = \text{all}]} = \frac{6159}{9826} = 0.6268$$

$$NRh = (1 - 0.9822 * 0.6268) * 100 = 38.44\%$$

So, the household non-response rate is = 38.44 %

- **Individual non-response rates (NRp)**

$$NRp = (1 - (Rp)) * 100$$

Where

$$R_p = \frac{\text{Number of personal interview completed}}{\text{Number of eligible individuals}}$$

$$= \frac{\sum RB_{250} = 11 + 12 + 13}{\sum RB_{245} = 1 + 2 + 3} = \frac{11592}{11711} = 0.9898$$

$$NR_p = (1 - 0.9898) * 100 = 1.02 \%$$

So, the individual non-response rate is 1.02 %

- **Overall individual non-response rates (*NRp)**

$$*NR_p = (1 - (R_a * R_h * R_p)) * 100 =$$

$$(1 - (0.9822 * 0.6268 * 0.9898)) * 100 = 39.06 \%$$

So, the overall individual non-response rate is 39.06 %.

For the new households (rotational group 1)

- **Household non-response rates (NRh)**

$$NR_h = (1 - (R_a * R_h)) * 100$$

where

$$R_a = \frac{\text{Number of addresses successfully contacted}}{\text{Number of valid addresses selected}} = \frac{\sum [DB120 = 11]}{\sum [DB120 = all] - \sum [DB120 = 23]} = \frac{5024}{5165 - 23} = 0.9771$$

$$R_h = \frac{\text{Number of household interviews completed and accepted for the database}}{\text{Number of eligible households at contacted addresses}} = \frac{\sum [DB135 = 1]}{\sum [DB130 = all]} = \frac{2101}{4879} = 0.4306$$

$$NR_h = (1 - (0.9771 * 0.4306)) * 100 = 57.93 \%$$

So, the household non-response rate is 57.93 %

- **Individual non-response rates (NRp)**

$$NR_p = (1 - (R_p)) * 100$$

Where

$$r_p = \frac{\text{Number of personal interview completed}}{\text{Number of eligible individuals}} = \frac{3905}{3960} = 0.9861$$

$$NR_p = (1 - 0.9861) * 100 = 1.39$$

So, the individual non-response rate is 1.39 %

- **Overall individual non-response rates (*NRp)**

$$*NRp = (1 - (Ra * Rh * Rp)) * 100 =$$

$$(1 - (0.9771 * 0.4306 * 0.9861)) * 100 = 58.51$$

So, the overall individual non-response rate is 58.51 %.

2.3.3.3. DISTRIBUTION OF HOUSEHOLDS BY 'RECORD OF CONTACT AT ADDRESS' (DB120), BY 'HOUSEHOLD QUESTIONNAIRE RESULT' (DB130) AND BY 'HOUSEHOLD INTERVIEW ACCEPTANCE' (DB135)

	N	Percentage %	Group 4 (start in 2013)		Group 3 (start in 2012)		Group 2 (start in 2011)		Group 1 (start in 2010)	
Total (DB120 =11 to 23)	10236	100.00	5165	50.46	1921	18.77	1617	15.80	1533	14.98
Address contacted (DB120 =11)	10030	97.99	5024	49.08	1890	18.46	1598	15.61	1518	14.83
Address non-contacted (DB120 =21 to 23)	206	2.01	141	1.38	31	0.30	19	0.19	15	0.15
Total address non-contacted	206	2.01	141	1.38	31	0.30	19	0.19	15	0.15
Address cannot be located (DB120 =21)	171	83.01	107	51.94	30	14.56	19	9.22	15	7.28
Address unable to access (DB120 =22)	11	5.34	11	5.34
Address does not exist (DB120 =23)	24	11.65	23	11.17	1	0.49

Table 12 : Distribution of households by 'record of contact at address' (DB120)

	N	Percentage %	Group 4 (start in 2013)		Group 3 (start in 2012)		Group 2 (start in 2011)		Group 1 (start in 2010)	
Total	9826	100.00	4879	49.65	1866	18.99	1578	16.06	1503	15.30
Household questionnaire completed (DB130 =11)	6159	62.68	2101	21.38	1451	14.77	1309	13.32	1298	13.21
Interview not completed (DB130 =21 to 24)	3667	37.32	2778	28.27	415	4.22	269	2.74	205	2.09
Total interview not completed (DB130 =21 to 24)	3667	100.00	2778	75.76	415	11.32	269	7.34	205	5.59
Refusal to co-operate (DB130 =21)	2708	73.85	2156	58.79	257	7.01	167	4.55	128	3.49
Entire household temporarily away (DB130 =22)	709	19.33	488	13.31	99	2.70	79	2.15	43	1.17
Household unable to respond (DB130 =23)	114	3.11	96	2.62	8	0.22	3	0.08	7	0.19

Unable to respond (DB130 = 24)	136	3.71	38	1.04	51	1.39	20	0.55	27	0.74
Household questionnaire completed (DB135=1+2)	6159	100.00	2101	34.11	1451	23.56	1309	21.25	1298	21.07
Interview accepted for database (DB135=1)	6159	100.00	2101	34.11	1451	23.56	1309	21.25	1298	21.07
Interview rejected (DB135=2)

Table 13 : Distribution of households by 'household questionnaire result' (DB130) and by 'household interview acceptance' (DB135)

Longitudinal non response rate for the 3 groups to follow:

$$(1 - (0.9874 * 0.8203 * 0.9917)) * 100 = 19.67$$

2.3.3.4. DISTRIBUTION OF SUBSTITUTED UNITS

No substitution was applied in our survey.

2.3.3.5. ITEM NON-RESPONSE

In Table 14, an overview of the item non-response for all income variables is presented. The percentage households having received an amount, the percentage of households with missing values and the percentage of households with partial information is calculated.

These percentages are calculated as follows:

% of households having received an amount : number of households (or persons) who have received something (yes to a filter) / total

% of households with missing values : number of households (or persons) who said that they have received something but did not give any amount (no partial information) / number of households (or persons) who have received something (yes to a filter)

% of households with partial information: number of households (or persons – depending on the source of the variable – household file HY or personal file PY) who said that they have received something but gave partial information (amounts were not given for all components) / number of households (or persons) who have received something (yes to a filter)

Item non-response	% of households having received an amount	% of households with missing values	% of households with partial information
Total gross household income (HY010)	99.77	10.74	40.65
Total disposable household income (HY020)	99.84	4.13	51.16
Total disposable household income before social transfers except old-age and survivor's benefits (HY022)	97.99	3.21	52.63

Total disposable household income before social transfers including old-age and survivor's benefit (HY023)	96.43	0.84	55.26
Net income components at household level			
Family related allowances (HY050N)	34.24	2.47	1.61
Interests, dividends, etc. (HY090N)	64.62	8.02	.
Gross income components at household level			
Income from rental of a property or land (HY040G)	8.93	4.00	.
Family related allowances (HY050G)	34.24	2.51	3.70
Social exclusion not elsewhere classified (HY060G)	2.00	14.63	.
Housing allowance (HY070G)	0.83	62.75	.
Regular inter-household cash transfer received (HY080G)	6.87	5.20	0.47
Interest repayments on mortgage (HY100G)	33.09	2.40	0.29
Income received by people aged < 16 (HY110G)	0.11	14.29	.
Regular inter-household cash transfer paid (HY130G)	9.63	7.42	1.01
Tax on income and social contributions (HY140G)	94.19	2.95	45.63
Net income components at personal level	% of individuals having received an amount	% of individuals with missing values	% of individuals with partial information
Employee cash or near cash income (PY010N)	46.75	2.89	14.36
Cash benefits or losses from self-employment (PY050N)	6.02	14.61	.
Pension from individual private plans (PY080N)	0.26	16.13	.
Unemployment benefits (PY090N)	10.62	6.11	.
Old age benefits (PY100N)	22.41	7.47	0.46
Survivor' benefits (PY110N)	0.75	2.27	.
Sickness benefits (PY120N)	1.39	7.36	.
Disability benefits (PY130N)	5.01	3.92	.
Gross income components at personal level			
Employee cash or near cash income (PY010G)	46.75	3.80	27.09

Non cash employee income (PY020G)	23.27	12.40	19.85
Non cash employee income: company car (PY021G)	4.66	21.61	.
Cash benefits or losses from self-employment (PY050G)	6.02	54.47	.
Pension from individual private plans (PY080G)	0.26	16.13	.
Unemployment benefits (PY090G)	10.62	42.60	.
Old age benefits (PY100G)	22.41	45.52	0.88
Survivor' benefits (PY110G)	0.75	46.59	.
Sickness benefits (PY120G)	1.39	50.31	.
Disability benefits (PY130G)	5.01	43.10	.
Education-related allowances (PY140G)	2.31	5.54	0.37

Table 14 : Overview of the non-response for the income variables - % households having received an amount, % of households or persons with missing values and % of households or persons with partial information

2.3.3.6. TOTAL ITEM NON-RESPONSE AND NUMBER OF OBSERVATIONS IN THE SAMPLE AT UNIT LEVEL OF THE COMMON CROSS-SECTIONAL EUROPEAN UNION INDICATORS BASED ON THE CROSS-SECTIONAL COMPONENT OF EU-SILC AND FOR EQUIVALISED DISPOSABLE INCOME

In the table below an overview including interpretation for the non-response is presented.

Indicator	Achieved sample size (number of individuals)	Non-response
Mean Equivalised disposable income	14623	0
Risk of poverty threshold: one person household	1876	0
Risk of poverty threshold: household with 2 adults and 2 dependent children	2600	0
Risk of poverty rate by age	14623	0
Risk of poverty rate by gender	14623	0
Risk of poverty rate by most frequent activity	11542	169
Risk of poverty rate by household type	14623	0
Risk of poverty rate by household type: one person households	1876	0
Risk of poverty rate by tenure status	11704	7
Risk of poverty rate by work intensity of the household	12643	1980
Dispersion around at risk poverty threshold	14623	0
Relative median risk-of-poverty gap by age and gender	14623	0
Risk-of-poverty rate by age and gender before all transfers (including pensions)	14623	0
S80/S20 quintile share ratio	14623	0

Gini coefficient	14623	0
------------------	-------	---

Table 15 : item non-response and number of observations at unit level of the common cross-sectional European Union indicators and for equivalised disposable income.

2.4. MODE OF DATA COLLECTION

In Table 16 the distribution of household members aged 16 and over by 'data status' (RB250).

(Household members RB245=1)

	Total	RB250=11	RB250=14
Total	11711	11592	119
%	100.00	98.98	1.02
Group 1 (start in 2013)	3960	3905	55
Group 4 (start in 2012)	2705	2678	27
Group 3 (start in 2011)	2545	2527	18
Group 2 (start in 2010)	2501	2482	19

Table 16 : Distribution of household members aged 16 and over by RB250

	Total	RB260=2	RB260=5
Total	11591	9924	1667
%	100.00	85.62	14.38
Group 1 (start in 2013)	3904	3388	516
Group 4 (start in 2012)	2678	2298	380
Group 3 (start in 2011)	2527	2129	398
Group 2 (start in 2010)	2482	2109	373

Table 17 : Distribution of household members aged 16 and over by RB260

2.5. INTERVIEW DURATION

Mean interview duration per household for household questionnaire : 21 min. Due to a routing error, duration of individual questionnaires cannot be computed for 2013. For information, total interview duration in 2012 was 39 minutes, the questionnaires have not been changed importantly since then.

2.6. IMPUTATION PROCEDURE

PRECEDING IMPORTANT REMARK

In contrast to 2004 and as 2005 – from 2006 onwards (so also in 2013) the calendar question (i40 in the questionnaire) was presented to every respondent rather than only those who indicated that had been a change in their social-economic position. It enabled us to assess and check much thoroughly the link between the social-economic position and the income variables. Notably for the self-employed this resulted in a substantive number of cases (being identified as being self-employed) who would be otherwise (and who were to some extent in 2004) not identified as being self-employed. These cases mainly concern people in jobs 'somewhere on the bridge' between being self-employed and employee but who nevertheless indicated in the calendar that they were self-employed.

2.6.1. OVERALL STRATEGY: EMPHASIS ON INTERNAL INFORMATION AND INTEGRATION OF OUTLIER DETECTION- , IMPUTATION- AND CONTROL-PHASES.

Between 2012 and 2013 there was no major changes in our overall strategy.

2.6.1.1. EMPHASIS ON INTERNAL INFORMATION.

We can't emphasise enough that to correct and impute our data (for any variable) we relied:

- a) **as much as possible on internal information present in the data itself**
- b) on formal and legal sources of information and
- c) only as final resort turned to statistical procedures (random imputations for ex.)

2.6.1.2. AN INTEGRATED STRATEGY.

As it was the case for previous SILC-surveys we used from SILC-2013 again an 'integrated approach' to organise the detection of outliers and the imputations. Crucial to the understanding of our way of working are the concepts of what we call 'vertical' and 'horizontal integration'.

By 'vertical integration' we mean that the phases of outlier detection and imputation were done together for each variable separately (1) rather than that both phases were done separately for all variables together (2). The differences between (1) – the way we did things for SILC 2004 - and (2) the way it was done for SILC 2003 – are subtle but nevertheless more than semantics, especially when combined with horizontal integration.

By horizontal integration we mean that information for each respondent on one variable was checked against information on another variable or another source. Information on the monthly gross income for example was – if both possible and applicable- checked with information on the net income, the yearly income, the current income (if no changes had occurred), the household income, other 'proxi'-variables to income (status etc...) and very important external sources of information like legislation.

The interplay between what we call vertical and horizontal integration leads to a dynamic strategy: variables are checked for outliers and inconsistencies, variables are compared to each other and corrected, (corrected) variables are immediately imputed consistently to the information in other (also corrected) variables – and this several times repeated.

We believe that the emphasis of this strategy on consistency of internal information for respondents throughout the survey and the use of external sources of information (legislation) is a far more successful way of detecting outliers and imputing missing values compared to methods of screening for outliers entirely based on (univariate) distributional features of variables (box-plot methods for example) and imputation methods mainly based on statistical probability models (IVE for example).

OUTLIER DETECTION:

The shift in strategy also implies – of course - a shift in the techniques that are used. As far as the outlier detection concerns there is far less emphasis on univariate - purely distributional related methods like box-plots but more emphasis on inconsistency checks. For the income variables these checks were done in 2 ways: a) comparison of ratio's between variables and b) comparison of the relative position of a respondent's answer on one variable to its position on another variable.

a) Comparison of ratio's between variables:

Comparison of the ratio between two inputs on comparable income variables is a straightforward way to detect outliers. Atypical large or small ratios between gross and net variants of income variables are obviously an indication of 'something being wrong'.

b) Comparison of relative positions on income variables:

The central issue in this procedure is the comparison of two income variables by comparison of the normal scores calculated for each case on both variables, after log-transformation. The log-transformation is necessary to normalize the otherwise poisson-distributed income variables.

The inputs of both comparable incomes are considered to be consistent if both normal scores are within predefined boundaries (for example -1,96 and 1,96) and/or the difference between the normal scores is limited (less than 1,96).

There is an indication of bias if the input of one of the incomes for a case is situated within 'normal boundaries' (-1.96 – 1.96) but the other input is not and/or if the difference between the two normal scores differ substantially (>1.96). In fact, the entire procedure consist out of 4 steps:

- Identification of the variables to be compared.
- Log-transformations, normality checks, calculation of means and standard deviations.
- Calculation of normal scores.
- Consistency control and identification of inconsistencies.

c) Other techniques :

There was explicitly more emphasis on the above techniques but this does not imply that the 'conventional' box-plot method was not used at all. In this method input outside the interval below were considered to be outliers:

[First Quartile – 1,5 * (Third Quartile – First Quartile) ; Third Quartile + 1,5 * (Third Quartile – First Quartile)]

Furthermore and as already mentioned, where applicable and usable legal maximums and minimums were also used to some extent.

Finally, we also checked for outliers via controls on a 'case to case' base in which we maximally used information of proxi-variables like professional status and other variables. In this process manifest errors in proxi- and/or other variables associated with the income variables were also removed/corrected (for example 'the number of months').

IMPUTATION

We did no longer make use of IVE. Instead we a) corrected (not imputed – in fact) a greater number of cases and if correction was not desirable or possible, but information on a directly comparable variable was present anyway (see section on internal information above), we b) resorted to direct imputation, via a regression model.

a) Corrections.

Corrections were also mainly done on basis of information in other comparable variables. Gross-net ratio of 12 - yearly income entered as monthly or vice versa - lead to simple corrections of the gross or the net, for example.

b) Regressions.

If correction was not desirable or possible but information on a directly comparable variable was present anyway, we resorted to direct imputation, via a regression model, of the variable for which input was missing. Below we describe how this was done for net –gross imputation, which were the

most prevalent instances of that sort. The method was extended, however, to other imputations (imputations of the reference year income based on the current income, for example).

Missing values on gross income variables (PY010G, PY020G, ... and components) were, if collected, imputed on the basis of the corresponding net variables (PY010N, PY020N, ... and components). The implementation of this imputation procedure was quasi-similar for almost all (income) variables on which it was applied. The procedure implied 6-steps:

- 1) Identification of the 'reference cases' (both gross and net collected) and identification of the cases to be imputed (net collected – gross missing).
- 2) Calculation of the gross/net ratio for the reference cases. Cases with an extreme value on this ratio were excluded from further use in the procedure.
- 3) Curve estimation of the relation (regression model) between gross and net income. The best fitting model (linear, logarithmic, quadratic, exponential) was being implemented.
- 4) Implementation of the regression model for the reference cases to identify outliers.
- 5) Re-implementation of the regression model for the reference cases after removal of the outliers.
- 6) Actual imputation step: missing (gross) values are imputed on the basis of
 - a) net values and
 - b) the estimates for the relation between gross and net income assessed in the steps above.

In step 1 the cases of which both gross and net income were collected are identified. We refer to these cases as 'reference cases' (step 1). The relationship between their net and gross income serves as reference for the imputation of the gross incomes for the cases where only the net was collected (cases to be imputed).

To avoid bias in this imputation model atypical reference cases (both outliers and errors) were identified and removed at several steps in the procedure (step 2 and 4).

In step 2 (reference)cases for whom the ratio between gross and net income exceeded what can be considered typical for the taxation regime applicable to the income concerned, were excluded.

In the case of almost all variables the boundary value of this ratio was set at 2,5. This boundary was arbitrary chosen.

Scrutiny of the excluded cases, however, validates this value's potential to discriminate between incomes which were subjected to real(istic) taxation and outliers or errors.

The latter category seldom counted more than a few percent of the total population in the survey and their gross/net ratio often exceeded the 2,5 considerably.

Further exploration also revealed that the exclusion of these cases from the procedure results in a dramatic increase of the fit of the regression model on which the imputation is based.

In step 4 outliers in the regression model were identified and removed using default regression diagnostics.

The underlying probability model of the net-gross relation was assessed with SAS regression model or SAS logistic procedures (step 3). For most variables the linear model fitted the data well. For a few variables the fit of the quadratic model was slightly better, however. Overall, and we underline this, the fit was very good and R-squares very high (always > 0.85).

The estimates of this regression model (step 5) served as direct input for the implementation of the actual imputation (step 6).

c) Other techniques.

Although we preferred the techniques above we were in some instances forced to resort to other techniques (due to lack of information – for example).

For some cases we imputed median values calculated after categorising using relevant variables. Most of the median values imputed, were for example, calculated after categorisation for status.

2.6.2. PARTICULAR CASES

GROSS/NET IMPUTATIONS.

For a limited number of monetary variables a limited number of respondents had given only a value for the gross variant of the variable (the opposite – only net is given - occurred much more). For these cases a net value was imputed on basis of the gross using the Belgian rules of taxation. A small number of net- pensions and unemployment benefits were imputed in this way.

IMPUTATION OF 'TOTAL HOUSING COST'

For the calculation of the total housing cost, we examined the current costs for small, average and large usage and used these amounts for both outlier detection and imputation, while taking into account other variables such as the number of household members and the household income. The cost for the water usage for example can be subdivided in subscriber money (fixed) and costs for the actual usage (variable). The cost for the usage of electricity depends largely whether the heating is electric or not: Singles in an apartment without electric heating consume approximately 600 kWh per year (~ 7 euro), while large consumers with accumulation warmth have an annual usage of approximately 20.000 kWh (~ 240 euro).

IMPUTATION OF PARTIAL UNIT NON-RESPONSE

The method chosen for Belgium was imputation of an income for each member of the household who did not answer the questionnaire. Imputation is based on the variable RB210 (basic activity status) of the individual given in the R-file. When the answer is missing or 4 (other inactive person), it is chosen not to impute any income. When available, we preferably used the longitudinal information's from 2012 for imputation. For the other cases the chosen method for imputation was imputation of a sub-category median based on age and sex. Net incomes were computed with a gross to net model, based on the imputed gross incomes.

COLLECTION VARIABLE COMPANY CAR

Since 2005, we decided to work with **the national rules of the tax authorities**. The benefit for individuals of using a company car for private goals was not directly assessed at the interview but afterwards calculated by applying the applicable taxation rules.

The fiscal benefit of all nature that a person has - due to disposition of a company car for private goals - is calculated by multiplying a fixed amount of kilometres driven for private use by a coefficient. To calculate the latest we need the fiscal cylinder capacity of the car. This fixed amount of kilometres driven for private use is for the tax authorities 5000 km if the distance home-work is less than 25 km, and 7500 if it's more than 25 km.

Since 2005, we asked directly the fiscal cylinder capacity and the distance between work and home. In case of non response of the cylinder capacity, we asked the mark, type and registration year of the car. Than we had to use an imputation method.

Imputation: To calculate the cylinder capacity, we did the following. We assumed that a company car is mostly diesel driven. We looked up for each mark, type and diesel engine what the corresponding

cylinder capacity is. If we had several cylinder capacities for the type of the mark, we calculated the weighted mean of the cylinder capacity. If there is not diesel version for a type of car, we did the same logic but than for petrol.

Once we had that we could easily find the corresponding fiscal coefficient. Than we only had to multiply it by the fixed amount of kilometres driven for private use to obtain the fiscal benefit of all nature

Example:

Type of car	Fiscal cylinder capacity	Forfait	Distance home work	Fixed amount	Fiscal benefit of all nature
Smart fortwo	5	0,1898	< 25 km	5000	949 €
Smart fortwo	5	0,1898	> 25 km	7500	1423.5 €

Table 18 : example calculation company car

After we calculated the fiscal benefit of all nature for a whole year, we weighted it for respondents who didn't dispose for a whole year of the company car. **The fiscal benefit of all nature is a gross non-cash employee income.**

IMPUTED RENT

From 2007 onwards a measure for 'imputed rent' needs to add to the data.

IN the QR-rapport for the 2007 we extensively reported on the method to calculated imputed rent. In the 2013 operation exactly the same method has been used. Results were very similar.

2.6.3. DESCRIPTION ON IMPUTATION PER TARGET VARIABLE

In the following table is shown which imputation method we used for each target variable (and also for each component within the Belgian questionnaire). For information on imputation methods please check the document EU-SILC065 where a comprehensive outline is given on the subject. IMD_0 stands for no imputation, IMD_1 for deductive imputation, IMD_2 for statistical imputation and IMD_3 for gross/net conversion.

[Remark : Starting from 2012, some imputation codes were categorized differently, important changes are thus due to a change in those codes and not to a methodological change.]

VARIABLE	IMD_0	IMD_1	IMD_2	IMD_3
HY040G	96.00	0.00	4.00	0.00
HY050G	93.79	6.21	0.00	0.00
HY060G	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
HY070G	37.25	0.00	62.75	0.00
HY080G	94.33	0.00	5.67	0.00
HY081G	95.49	0.00	4.51	0.00
HY090G	91.98	0.00	8.02	0.00
HY100G	97.30	0.00	2.70	0.00
HY110G	85.71	0.00	14.29	0.00
HY120G
HY130G	91.57	0.00	8.43	0.00

HY131G	86.12	0.00	13.88	0.00
---------------	-------	------	-------	------

Table 19 : % Imputation method over the total number of observations per (target) variable – gross variables on household level

VARIABLE	IMD_0	IMD_1	IMD_2	IMD_3
HY040N
HY050N	95.92	4.08	0.00	0.00
HY060N
HY070N
HY080N
HY081N
HY090N	91.98	0.00	8.02	0.00
HY100N
HY110N
HY120N
HY130N
HY131N

Table 20 : % Imputation method over the total number of observations per (target) variable – NET variables on household level

VARIABLE	IMD_0	IMD_1	IMD_2	IMD_3
PY010G	69.11	2.08	0.00	28.80
PY020G	67.74	0.00	0.00	32.26
PY021G	78.39	0.00	21.61	0.00
PY030G	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PY035G	0.00	100.00	0.00	0.00
PY050G	45.53	0.00	0.43	54.04
PY070G
PY080G	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
PY090G	57.48	0.88	0.00	41.64
PY100G	53.60	0.27	7.89	38.25
PY110G	53.41	0.00	0.00	46.59
PY120G	100.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PY130G	99.15	0.85	0.00	0.00

Table 21 : % Imputation method over the total number of observations per (target) variable – gross variables on Personal level

VARIABLE	IMD_0	IMD_1	IMD_2	IMD_3
PY010N	96.33	2.85	0.68	0.15
PY020N	87.52	12.48	0.00	0.00
PY021N	78.39	0.00	0.00	21.61
PY030N
PY035N

PY050N	85.39	12.62	1.70	0.28
PY070N
PY080N	0.00	0.00	100.00	0.00
PY090N	93.89	5.31	0.72	0.08
PY100N	92.08	0.27	7.47	0.19
PY110N	97.73	0.00	2.27	0.00
PY120N	95.71	1.23	0.00	3.07
PY130N	97.44	1.36	0.00	1.19

Table 22 : % Imputation method over the total number of observations per (target) variable – Net variables on Personal level

3. COMPARABILITY

3.1. BASIC CONCEPTS AND DEFINITIONS

THE REFERENCE POPULATION

The reference population is all citizens living officially living at Belgian territory (population de jure). This means that the source of our sample is the central population register. This Register includes all private households and their current members residing in the territory. Persons living in collective households and in institutions are excluded from the target population.

(see also §2.3.1)

THE PRIVATE HOUSEHOLD DEFINITION

The definition of household that Eurostat recommends is used. Household is defined as a person living alone or a group of people who live together in the same dwelling and share expenditures including the joint provision of the essentials of living.

THE HOUSEHOLD MEMBERSHIP

The definition of household membership is the same as mentioned in the Eurostat document EU-SILC065/03 about the description of target variables (Chapter 'Units').

All household members of 16 year and older **at the end of the income reference period**, are selected for a personal interview.

THE INCOME REFERENCE PERIOD USED

The income reference period is a fixed twelve-month period, namely the previous calendar year. For SILC 2013, the income reference period is the year 2012.

THE PERIOD FOR TAXES ON INCOME AND SOCIAL INSURANCE CONTRIBUTIONS

This is also fixed twelve-month period, namely the previous calendar year. For SILC 2013, the period is the year 2012.

THE LAG BETWEEN THE INCOME REFERENCE PERIOD AND CURRENT VARIABLES

The income reference period is the previous calendar year (year 2012) and the current variables refer to the fieldwork period (April-December 2013). Therefore the lag is at minimum 4 months and at maximum 12 months.

THE TOTAL DURATION OF THE DATA COLLECTION OF THE SAMPLE

The fieldwork took mainly place from February to August 2013. Some interviews also took place after August 2013, they represent less than 15 % of the interviews.

	Frequency	Percent	Cumulative Percent
January	1	0.02	0.02
February	2	0.03	0.05
March	8	0.13	0.18

April	657	10.67	10.85
May	2071	33.63	44.47
June	1502	24.39	68.86
July	720	11.69	80.55
August	322	5.23	85.78
September	327	5.31	91.09
October	409	6.64	97.73
November	138	2.24	99.97
December	2	0.03	100.00

Table 23 : HB050 Month of the household interview

BASIC INFORMATION ON ACTIVITY STATUS DURING THE INCOME REFERENCE PERIOD

Basic information on activity status during the income reference period was mainly obtained via the calendar question (I40) (in contrast to 2004 where it was obtained by combining the answer for question I8 (PL030) with the answer(s) for question(s) I38 (PL200) and for those with a change I40 (calendar question)). ALSO SEE REMARK 2.6.0.

3.2. COMPONENTS OF INCOME

3.2.1. DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE NATIONAL DEFINITIONS AND STANDARD EU-SILC DEFINITIONS, AND AN ASSESSMENT, IF AVAILABLE, OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE DIFFERENCES MENTIONED WILL BE REPORTED FOR THE FOLLOWING TARGET VARIABLES.

TOTAL HOUSEHOLD GROSS INCOME

$HY010 = PY010 + PY021G + PY050G + PY090G + PY100G + PY110G + PY120G + PY130G + PY140G + HY040G + HY050G + HY060G + HY070G + HY080G + HY090G + HY110G$

PY021G only contains the value of company cars and is comparable to the variable PY020G of previous waves of the survey.

PY020G is a new variable from 2008 on which contains in addition to company cars other non-cash income for employees such as luncheon vouchers, goods and services provided free or at reduced price by the employer, ...

TOTAL DISPOSABLE HOUSEHOLD INCOME

$HY020 = HY010 - HY140 - HY130$

We didn't take count of HY120G, because regular taxes on wealth do not exist in Belgium.

TOTAL DISPOSABLE HOUSEHOLD INCOME, BEFORE SOCIAL TRANSFERS OTHER THAN OLD AGE AND SURVIVORS' BENEFIT

$HY022 = HY020 - \text{tnetrans} - HY050N - HY060G - HY070G$

$\text{Tnetrans} = PY090N + PY120N + PY130N + PY140N$

TOTAL DISPOSABLE HOUSEHOLD INCOME, BEFORE SOCIAL TRANSFERS INCLUDING OLD AGE AND SURVIVORS' BENEFIT

$HY023 = HY020 - tnetran2 - HY050N - HY060G - HY070G.$

$tnetran2 = PY090N + PY120N + PY130N + PY140G + PY100N + PY110N.$

IMPUTED RENT

For more information on how imputed rent was implemented in the Belgian EU-SILC – see QR2008

INCOME FROM RENTAL OF PROPERTY OR LAND

Asked as Eurostat recommends. Income from rental of a property or land refers to the income received, during the income reference period, from renting a property (for example renting a dwelling –not included in the profit/loss of unincorporated enterprises-, receipts from boarders or lodgers, or rent from land) after deducting costs such as mortgage interest repayments, minor repairs, maintenance, insurance and other charges.

FAMILY/CHILDREN RELATED ALLOWANCES

Family / children related allowance includes:

Income maintenance benefit in the event of childbirth

Birth grant

Parental leave benefit

Family or child allowance.

From SILC 2008 onwards Belgium asked allowances received from the federal government and also birth grants given by some local authorities and medical organizations.

SOCIAL EXCLUSION PAYMENTS NOT ELSEWHERE CLASSIFIED

Social benefits in the function 'social exclusion not elsewhere classified' includes for Belgium:

Income support: periodic payments to people with insufficient resources.

Other cash benefit: support for destitute and vulnerable persons to help alleviate poverty or assist in difficult situations.

Belgium only took into account the Benefits paid by the Public Social Welfare Organization (not the benefits paid by private or non profit organizations).

HOUSING ALLOWANCES

The housing allowances for Belgium includes:

- Rent benefit
- Benefit to owner-occupiers: a means-tested transfer by a public authority to owner-occupiers to alleviate their current housing costs: in practice help with paying mortgages and/ or interest

It excludes:

- Social housing policy organized through the fiscal system

All capital transfers (in particular investment grants), for example rehabilitation subsidy and/or a building subsidy.

REGULAR INTER – HOUSEHOLD CASH TRANSFERS RECEIVED

Regular inter-household cash transfers received refer to regular monetary amounts received, during the income reference period, from other households or persons. More precise, we asked for 'alimony and child support' and 'regular cash support'.

INTEREST, DIVIDENDS, PROFIT FROM CAPITAL INVESTMENTS IN INCORPORATED BUSINESSES

Interest, dividends, profits from capital investment in an unincorporated business refer to the amount of interest from assets such as bank accounts, certificates of deposit, bonds, etc, dividends and profits from capital investment in an unincorporated business, in which the person does not work, received during the income reference period less expenses incurred.

For this variable (HY090), the imputation method has been adapted in 2012, so the observed decrease cannot be interpreted as a real one. The impact on the main poverty indicators has been tested and the impact on those is minor.

INTEREST PAID ON MORTGAGE

Interest paid on mortgage refers to the total gross amount, before deducting any tax credit or tax allowance, of mortgage interest on the main residence of the household during income reference period.

INCOME RECEIVED BY PEOPLE AGED UNDER 16

Income received by people aged under 16 is defined as the gross income received by all household members aged under sixteen during the income reference period. We asked the reference person the annual amount received by all children under 16 together.

REGULAR TAXES ON WEALTH

This variable isn't asked/measured for the SILC2013 in Belgium. The reason is that the regular tax on wealth doesn't exist.

REGULAR INTER-HOUSEHOLD TRANSFERS PAID

Regular inter-household transfer paid refers to regular monetary amount paid, during the income reference period, to other households. More precise, we asked for 'alimony and child support' and 'regular cash support'.

TAX ON INCOME AND SOCIAL INSURANCE CONTRIBUTIONS

Tax on income refers to taxes on income, profits and capital gains. They are assessed on the actual or presumed income of individuals, households or tax-unit. They include taxes assessed on holdings of property, land or real estate when these holdings are used as a basis for estimating the income of their owners.

Taxes on income include the sum of the following calculations:

- (Gross income from salaries – net income salaries)
- + (Gross income from self-employments – net income from self-employments)
- + (Gross income from pension allowances – net income from pension allowances)
- + (Gross income from disability, illness allowances – net income disability, illness allowances)
- + (Gross income from jobseeker's allowances - net income from jobseeker's allowances)

We have also taken into account of the money that people have received from the taxes or that people have paid to the taxes in 2012 (based on their incomes of the year 2011).

REPAYMENTS/RECEIPTS FOR TAX ADJUSTMENTS

Repayments/receipts for tax adjustments refer to the money paid to/received from Taxes Authorities related to the income received. This variable is already included in the variable ' tax on income and social contribution' (see above), so Belgium didn't provide this variable.

CASH OR NEAR-CASH EMPLOYEE INCOME

It includes:

- Wages and salaries paid in cash for time worked or work done in main and any secondary or casual job(s).
- Remuneration for time not worked (e.g. holiday payments)
- Enhanced rates of pay for overtime
- Fees paid to directors of incorporated enterprises
- Commissions, tips and gratuities
- Supplementary payments (e.g. thirteenth month payments, fourteenth month payments)
- Profit sharing and bonuses paid in cash
- Additional payments based on productivity
- Allowances paid for working in remote locations (regarded as part of the conditions of the job)
- Allowances for transport to or from work

NON-CASH EMPLOYEE INCOME AND COMPANY CAR.

Before SILC 2008 variable PY020 consisted only out of 'company Car'. From 2008 onwards other non-cash income elements needed to be added. 'Company car' itself was recorded in PY021.

In SILC-2013 PY020 consists of the following elements:

- Company car
- free or subsidized meals and luncheon vouchers
- reimbursement or payment of housing-related expenses
- reimbursement or payment of gasoline
- reimbursement or payment of car assurance
- reimbursement or payment of mobile phone costs

EMPLOYERS' SOCIAL INSURANCE CONTRIBUTION

The outcome of variable PY030 was the result of the following model:

For blue collar workers: $((PY010G*1,08)/100)*50,5$

And for white collar workers: $PY010G/3$

Both equations were derived from social security rules.

CASH PROFITS OR LOSSES FROM SELF-EMPLOYMENT (INCLUDING ROYALTIES)

It includes:

- Net operating profit or loss accruing to working owners of, or partners in, an unincorporated enterprise, less interest on business loans.
- Royalties earned on writing, inventions, and so on not included in the profit/loss of unincorporated enterprises.
- Rentals from business buildings, vehicles, equipment, etc not included in the profit/loss of unincorporated enterprises, after deduction of related costs such as interest on associated loans, repairs and maintenance and insurance charges.

VALUE OF GOODS PRODUCED FOR OWN CONSUMPTION

This variable is not recorded in the file because the value of goods produced for the own consumption does not constitute a significant component of the income. The importance of the component has been assessed using HBS.

UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

Unemployment benefits include:

- Full unemployment benefits: benefits compensating for loss of earnings
- Partial unemployment benefits
- Early retirement for labour market reasons
- Vocational training allowance
- Mobility and resettlement
- Severance and termination payments
- Redundancy compensation
- Subsistence income for persons entering the labour market

OLD-AGE BENEFIT

Old age benefit includes:

- Old age pensions
- Anticipated old age pensions
- Partial retirement pensions
- Survivor's benefits paid after the standard retirement age
- Disability cash benefits paid after standard retirement age

SURVIVORS' BENEFITS

It includes:

- Survivor's pension
- Death grant
- Other cash benefit

SICKNESS' BENEFITS

It includes:

- Paid sick leave

DISABILITY BENEFITS

It includes:

- disability pension
- early retirement in case of reduced ability to work
- care allowance
- economic integration of the handicapped
- disability benefits to disabled children in their own right
- other cash benefit

EDUCATION-RELATED ALLOWANCES

It includes allowances referring to grants, scholarships and other education help received by students.

However to obtain this variable we asked the information on household level instead of personal level because in Belgium this is paid on household level. Afterwards we attributed this amount to the persons in the individual file.

CAPACITY TO FACE UNEXPECTED FINANCIAL EXPENSES (HS060)

we take into account the capacity with own means (no borrowing from banks or friends ,...) because these opportunities were the subject of other parts of the question in the Belgian questionnaire .

3.2.2. THE SOURCE OR PROCEDURE USED FOR THE COLLECTION OF INCOME VARIABLES

The collection of the income variables is by interview. Belgium has no income variables collected from registers for the survey of 2013.

3.2.3. THE FORM IN WHICH INCOME VARIABLES AT COMPONENT LEVEL HAVE BEEN OBTAINED (E.G. GROSS, NET OF TAXES ON INCOME AT SOURCE AND SOCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS, NET OF TAX ON INCOME AT SOURCE, NET OF SOCIAL CONTRIBUTIONS)

Areas	Qr. Block	Target Variable	Unit of measurement	Tax or tax-exempt	If taxable, how the amount is recorded
Employee Income	PY010	Gross Employee Cash or near cash Income in reference period	Individual level	Taxable	Net + gross
	PY020	Gross Non-Cash Employee income (company car, mail tickets)	Individual level	Not taxable (mail tickets are not taxable for the employee and can not be deducted from taxes by the employer) (the company car itself is not taxable but the kilometres that are done for job/work distances and for private distances are taxed: there is always a minimum of 5000 km taxed)	
Self-employment Income	PY050	Gross Cash Income benefits/Losses from self-employment (including profit/loss from unincorporated enterprise, royalties)	Individual level	Taxable For losses, this means a deduction from taxes of this amount can be done on other income posts of that year or on income of the next year)	Net OR gross
Imputed rent ⁴	HY030	imputed rent	Household level	-	
Property income	HY090	Interest, dividends, profit from capital investments in unincorporated business	Individual level	Taxable	Net

⁴ Information on that component is asked because it is important to know if :

- a) an owner is taxed regarding his tenure status (specific tax on property income)
- b) a 'rent-free' tenant could be taxed on behalf of the accommodation's owner

	HY040	Income from rental of property or land	Household level	Taxable	Gross
	PY080	Regular pension from Private (non-ESSPROS) schemes	Individual level	Taxable	Gross (for the major part of the pensions)
Current transfer received	HY050	Family-related allowances: parental leave benefits	Individual level	Taxable	Net + gross
Social benefits: ESSPROS		Family-related allowances:	Household level	Not taxable	
	HY060	Social assistance	Individual level	Not taxable	
	HY070	Housing allowances	Household level	Not taxable	
	PY090	Unemployment Benefits	Individual level	Taxable	Net + gross
	PY100	Old-age benefits	Individual level	Taxable	Net + gross
	PY110	Survivor's Benefits	Individual level	Taxable	Net + gross
	PY120	Sickness Benefits	Individual level	Taxable	Net + gross
	PY130	Invalidity Benefits	Individual level	Taxable	Net + gross
Regular inter household transfer received	PY140	Education-related Allowances	Household level	Not taxable	
	HY080	Regular inter-household cash transfers received	Household level	Not taxable, but taxed if alimentation	Gross
Other income received	HY110	Income received by people aged under 16	Household level	Not taxable	
Interest payments	HY100	Interest repayments on mortgage	Household level	Taxable, this means a deduction from taxes can be done	Gross
Current transfers paid	HY130	Regular inter-household cash transfers paid	Household level	Not taxable or deductible, but taxed if alimentation	Gross

Table 24 : The form in which income variables at component level have been obtained

3.2.4. THE METHOD USED FOR OBTAINING INCOME TARGET VARIABLES IN THE REQUIRED FORM (I.E. GROSS VALUES)

See above for information on control, correction, imputation and creation of the gross target variables.

4. COHERENCE

The results of the Belgian EU-SILC 2013 operation are very similar to those of the 2012 operation.

ANNEX: CONFIDENCE INTERVALS

Confidence intervals (95%) for the common cross-sectional EU indicators, equivalised disposable income.

Indicator	Subpopulation (if any)	N	value	CL95low	CL95high	Half length
Poverty rate	(ALL)	14623	15.1	12.9	17.3	2.2
Poverty rate	Male	7201	14.6	12.3	16.9	2.3
Poverty rate	Female	7422	15.5	13.3	17.7	2.2
Poverty rate	Age 0-64	12196	14.4	12.8	16.0	1.6
Poverty rate	Age 16+	11711	14.7	12.4	17.0	2.3
Poverty rate	Age 16-64	9284	13.7	12.1	15.3	1.6
Poverty rate	Age 0-15	2912	16.8	14.0	19.6	2.8
Poverty rate	Age 16-24	1554	17.0	14.0	20.0	3.0
Poverty rate	Age 25-49	4734	13.8	12.0	15.6	1.8
Poverty rate	Age 50-64	2996	11.7	9.4	14.0	2.3
Poverty rate	Age 65+	2427	18.4	11.7	25.1	6.7
Poverty rate	One person hh under 65 years	1149	26.4	20.7	32.1	5.7
Poverty rate	One person hh over 65 years	727	21.2	11.8	30.6	9.4
Poverty rate	One person hh male	867	25.2	18.6	31.8	6.6
Poverty rate	One person hh female	1009	23.9	16.6	31.2	7.3
Poverty rate	One person hh total	1876	24.5	18.4	30.6	6.1
Poverty rate	2 adults (no children) both less 65 years	1916	8.7	6.4	11.0	2.3
Poverty rate	2 adults (no children) at least one 65+ years	1728	16.9	11.0	22.8	5.9
Poverty rate	Other households no dependent children	1024	6.0	2.7	9.3	3.3
Poverty rate	Single parent hh + one or more dependant children	1079	34.2	28.3	40.1	5.9
Poverty rate	2 adults + one dependent child	1647	10.6	7.6	13.6	3.0
Poverty rate	2 adults + two dependent children	2600	7.8	5.4	10.2	2.4
Poverty rate	2 adults + three or more dependent children	1705	19.9	13.3	26.5	6.6
Poverty rate	Other households with dependent children	951	11.9	6.7	17.1	5.2
Poverty rate	HH without dependent children	6544	15.3	11.7	18.9	3.6
Poverty rate	HH with dependent children	7982	14.9	13.0	16.8	1.9
Poverty rate	Owner or rent free	10908	8.1	6.0	10.2	2.1
Poverty rate	Tenant	3704	34.6	30.6	38.6	4.0
Poverty rate	Employed	5618	4.4	3.2	5.6	1.2
Poverty rate	Unemployed	610	46.2	39.3	53.1	6.9
Poverty rate	Retired	2846	15.1	8.6	21.6	6.5

Poverty rate	Other inactive	2468	29.2	26.7	31.7	2.5
Poverty rate	Low level of education	3647	25.4	20.3	30.5	5.1
Poverty rate	Medium level of education	3996	11.7	9.5	13.9	2.2
Poverty rate	High level of education	3891	7.2	5.5	8.9	1.7
Poverty rate	Region: Brussels	2259	33.5	26.6	40.4	6.9
Poverty rate	Region: Flemish Region	7752	10.8	8.7	12.9	2.1
Poverty rate	Region: Walloon Region	4612	16.7	13.2	20.2	3.5
AROPE	(ALL)	14623	20.8	19.2	22.4	1.6
AROPE	Male	7201	20.4	18.6	22.2	1.8
AROPE	Female	7422	21.2	19.4	23.0	1.8
AROPE	Age 0-64	12196	21.1	19.6	22.6	1.5
AROPE	Age 16+	11711	20.7	19.0	22.4	1.7
AROPE	Age 16-64	9284	21.0	19.5	22.5	1.5
AROPE	Age 0-15	2912	21.5	18.7	24.3	2.8
AROPE	Age 16-24	1554	24.2	20.9	27.5	3.3
AROPE	Age 25-49	4734	19.9	17.9	21.9	2.0
AROPE	Age 50-64	2996	21.2	18.9	23.5	2.3
AROPE	Age 65+	2427	19.5	13.1	25.9	6.4
AROPE	One person hh under 65 years	1149	39.2	34.6	43.8	4.6
AROPE	One person hh over 65 years	727	23.6	15.1	32.1	8.5
AROPE	One person hh male	867	37.1	31.4	42.8	5.7
AROPE	One person hh female	1009	30.1	24.2	36.0	5.9
AROPE	One person hh total	1876	33.5	29.1	37.9	4.4
AROPE	2 adults (no children) both less 65 years	1916	17.9	15.0	20.8	2.9
AROPE	2 adults (no children) at least one 65+ years	1728	18.7	12.7	24.7	6.0
AROPE	Other households no dependent children	1024	13.0	9.4	16.6	3.6
AROPE	Single parent hh + one or more dependant children	1079	54.5	48.9	60.1	5.6
AROPE	2 adults + one dependent child	1647	13.4	10.1	16.7	3.3
AROPE	2 adults + two dependent children	2600	8.6	6.2	11.0	2.4
AROPE	2 adults + three or more dependent children	1705	23.4	16.9	29.9	6.5
AROPE	Other households with dependent children	951	15.8	9.9	21.7	5.9
AROPE	HH without dependent children	6544	22.3	19.6	25.0	2.7
AROPE	HH with dependent children	7982	19.6	17.6	21.6	2.0
AROPE	Owner or rent free	10908	12.0	10.2	13.8	1.8
AROPE	Tenant	3704	45.6	42.1	49.1	3.5
AROPE	Employed	5618	6.1	4.9	7.3	1.2
AROPE	Unemployed	610	69.8	63.9	75.7	5.9

AROPE	Retired	2846	19.4	13.9	24.9	5.5
AROPE	Other inactive	2468	42.6	40.1	45.1	2.5
AROPE	Low level of education	3647	34.0	30.0	38.0	4.0
AROPE	Medium level of education	3996	17.9	15.9	19.9	2.0
AROPE	High level of education	3891	10.7	8.9	12.5	1.8
AROPE	Region: Brussels	2259	40.5	34.2	46.8	6.3
AROPE	Region: Flemish Region	7752	15.4	13.4	17.4	2.0
AROPE	Region: Walloon Region	4612	24.2	20.9	27.5	3.3
LWI	(ALL)	14623	14.0	12.5	15.5	1.5
LWI	Male	7201	14.0	12.1	15.9	1.9
LWI	Female	7422	14.0	12.6	15.4	1.4
LWI	Age 0-64	12196	14.0	12.5	15.5	1.5
LWI	Age 16+	11711	14.8	13.3	16.3	1.5
LWI	Age 16-64	9284	14.8	13.3	16.3	1.5
LWI	Age 0-15	2912	11.8	9.7	13.9	2.1
LWI	Age 16-24	1554	14.6	11.7	17.5	2.9
LWI	Age 25-49	4734	12.9	11.1	14.7	1.8
LWI	Age 50-64	2996	19.3	16.4	22.2	2.9
LWI	Age 65+	2427
LWI	One person hh under 65 years	1149	31.3	26.4	36.2	4.9
LWI	One person hh over 65 years	727
LWI	One person hh male	867	33.2	26.0	40.4	7.2
LWI	One person hh female	1009	28.3	22.1	34.5	6.2
LWI	One person hh total	1876	31.3	26.4	36.2	4.9
LWI	2 adults (no children) both less 65 years	1916	14.9	11.9	17.9	3.0
LWI	2 adults (no children) at least one 65+ years	1728	30.6	19.7	41.5	10.9
LWI	Other households no dependent children	1024	12.7	8.7	16.7	4.0
LWI	Single parent hh + one or more dependant children	1079	41.1	35.8	46.4	5.3
LWI	2 adults + one dependent child	1647	6.5	4.4	8.6	2.1
LWI	2 adults + two dependent children	2600	3.8	2.1	5.5	1.7
LWI	2 adults + three or more dependent children	1705	11.4	7.1	15.7	4.3
LWI	Other households with dependent children	951	6.2	2.8	9.6	3.4
LWI	HH without dependent children	6544	20.2	17.6	22.8	2.6
LWI	HH with dependent children	7982	11.1	9.5	12.7	1.6
LWI	Owner or rent free	10908	7.1	6.2	8.0	0.9
LWI	Tenant	3704	32.2	28.5	35.9	3.7
LWI	Employed	5618	0.2	0.0	1.0	0.8

LWI	Unemployed	610	65.4	58.9	71.9	6.5
LWI	Retired	2846	66.2	57.8	74.6	8.4
LWI	Other inactive	2468	34.5	32.2	36.8	2.3
LWI	Low level of education	3647	30.5	27.1	33.9	3.4
LWI	Medium level of education	3996	11.9	10.2	13.6	1.7
LWI	High level of education	3891	7.3	5.4	9.2	1.9
LWI	Region: Brussels	2259	26.5	22.9	30.1	3.6
LWI	Region: Flemish Region	7752	8.9	7.4	10.4	1.5
LWI	Region: Walloon Region	4612	18.8	15.3	22.3	3.5
SMD	(ALL)	14623	5.1	4.3	5.9	0.8
SMD	Male	7201	5.5	4.6	6.4	0.9
SMD	Female	7422	4.7	3.8	5.6	0.9
SMD	Age 0-64	12196	5.7	4.8	6.6	0.9
SMD	Age 16+	11711	5.0	4.2	5.8	0.8
SMD	Age 16-64	9284	5.8	4.8	6.8	1.0
SMD	Age 0-15	2912	5.4	4.0	6.8	1.4
SMD	Age 16-24	1554	7.2	5.1	9.3	2.1
SMD	Age 25-49	4734	6.4	5.2	7.6	1.2
SMD	Age 50-64	2996	4.1	2.8	5.4	1.3
SMD	Age 65+	2427	2.0	1.1	2.9	0.9
SMD	One person hh under 65 years	1149	15.8	12.3	19.3	3.5
SMD	One person hh over 65 years	727	4.4	2.1	6.7	2.3
SMD	One person hh male	867	15.5	11.5	19.5	4.0
SMD	One person hh female	1009	8.0	5.2	10.8	2.8
SMD	One person hh total	1876	11.6	9.1	14.1	2.5
SMD	2 adults (no children) both less 65 years	1916	4.5	2.6	6.4	1.9
SMD	2 adults (no children) at least one 65+ years	1728	1.0	0.0	2.0	1.0
SMD	Other households no dependent children	1024	0.8	0.0	1.6	0.8
SMD	Single parent hh + one or more dependant children	1079	16.1	10.5	21.7	5.6
SMD	2 adults + one dependent child	1647	2.9	1.1	4.7	1.8
SMD	2 adults + two dependent children	2600	1.4	0.2	2.6	1.2
SMD	2 adults + three or more dependent children	1705	4.8	3.0	6.6	1.8
SMD	Other households with dependent children	951	5.9	1.6	10.2	4.3
SMD	HH without dependent children	6544	5.4	4.4	6.4	1.0
SMD	HH with dependent children	7982	4.9	3.7	6.1	1.2
SMD	Owner or rent free	10908	1.4	0.9	1.9	0.5
SMD	Tenant	3704	15.6	13.2	18.0	2.4

SMD	Employed	5618	2.1	1.5	2.7	0.6
SMD	Unemployed	610	23.2	18.7	27.7	4.5
SMD	Retired	2846	2.2	1.5	2.9	0.7
SMD	Other inactive	2468	10.2	8.8	11.6	1.4
SMD	Other inactive	2468	10.2	8.8	11.6	1.4
SMD	Low level of education	3647	8.5	6.8	10.2	1.7
SMD	Medium level of education	3996	4.1	3.1	5.1	1.0
SMD	High level of education	3891	2.6	1.7	3.5	0.9
SMD	Region: Brussels	2259	14.9	11.8	18.0	3.1
SMD	Region: Flemish Region	7752	2.7	1.9	3.5	0.8
SMD	Region: Walloon Region	4612	6.3	4.6	8.0	1.7
Poverty rate before transfers including pensions	(ALL)	14623	26.3	23.7	28.9	2.6
Poverty rate before transfers including pensions	Male	7201	26.2	24.1	28.3	2.1
Poverty rate before transfers including pensions	Female	7422	26.5	23.1	29.9	3.4
Poverty rate before transfers including pensions	Age 0-15	3092	32.1	29.0	35.2	3.1
Poverty rate before transfers including pensions	Age 16+	11711	25.1	22.3	27.9	2.8
Poverty rate before transfers including pensions	Age 16-64	9284	26.0	23.8	28.2	2.2
Poverty rate before transfers including pensions	Age 65+	2427	21.6	15.1	28.1	6.5
Poverty rate before transfers excluding pensions	(ALL)	14623	42.0	40.1	43.9	1.9
Poverty rate before transfers excluding pensions	Male	7201	40.0	38.2	41.8	1.8
Poverty rate before transfers excluding pensions	Female	7422	44.0	41.5	46.5	2.5
Poverty rate before transfers excluding pensions	Age 0-15	3092	32.8	29.8	35.8	3.0
Poverty rate before transfers excluding pensions	Age 16+	11711	44.2	42.3	46.1	1.9
Poverty rate before transfers excluding pensions	Age 16-64	9284	31.7	29.7	33.7	2.0
Poverty rate before transfers excluding pensions	Age 65+	2427	92.7	91.2	94.2	1.5
AROP 40% median income	(ALL)	14623	3.9	3.0	4.8	0.9
AROP 50% median income	(ALL)	14623	8.3	7.0	9.6	1.3
AROP 70% median income	(ALL)	14623	24.3	22.3	26.3	2.0
Mean equivalised disposable income	(ALL)	14623	23279.0	22694.7	23863.3	584.3
Median equivalised disposable income	(ALL)	14623	21483.0	20610.5	22355.5	872.5

S80/S20	(ALL)	14623	3.8	3.5	4.1	0.3
Gini coefficient	(ALL)	14623	25.9	25.4	26.4	0.5
RMG	(ALL)	2248	19.2	18.0	20.4	1.2
RMG	Female	1192	18.5	17.3	19.7	1.2
RMG	Male	1056	20.1	17.6	22.6	2.5
RMG	Age 16-24	285	27.1	25.3	28.9	1.8
RMG	Age 16+	1722	18.8	17.9	19.7	0.9
RMG	Age 16-64	1278	22.8	21.1	24.5	1.7
RMG	Age 65+	444	10.5	8.8	12.2	1.7
Median equivalised disposable income below poverty	(ALL)	2248	10417.0	9668.2	11165.8	748.8